### **Reimagining Member Engagement ... It's Not About the IHA Anymore**

#### **Presented By:**

Aaron Winkel, Senior Solutions Manager – Veradigm

Kwame Appiah-Yeboah, Director Risk Adjustment and Revenue Management – Sentara Health Plans Megan Zakrewsky, Vice President, Solutions Management - Veradigm



### Today's Agenda

- Impact of Risk Adjustment V28 model changes
- Member and Provider Engagement Strategies
- Tactics from a Health Plan
- Closing Gaps at the Point of Care



### Impact of Risk Adjustment V28 model changes



**Aaron Winkel** Sr. Solutions Manager, Risk Adjustment Veradigm

#### **Responsibilities:**

Risk Adjustment Analytics Product Owner, Product Roadmap, Voice of the Customer, Managing and Prioritizing the Product Backlog







### Recap of V24 and V28 model differences

#### <u>V24</u>

- Based on ICD-9 codes that were mapped to ICD-10
- 2014 diagnoses and 2015 expenditures
- 86 payment HCCs
- 9,797 ICD-10 diagnosis codes
- Coefficients with a disease hierarchy with higher RAF for more severity

#### <u>V28</u>

- Based on ICD-10 codes
- 2018 diagnoses and 2019 expenditures
- 115 Payment HCCs:
  - 5 of the 86 HCCs from V24 are being removed
  - HCCs renumbered and/or split
  - New HCCs created
- 7,770 ICD-10 diagnosis codes (including over 200 newly added)
- Coefficients within a disease hierarchy with equal RAF (HCC Constraint)
- Incorporates Principle 10 Focused Clinical updates (discretionary diagnostic categories excluded)



### Top V24 Confirmed conditions that will decrease in V28 due to Dx no longer being risk adjustable

#### **Analysis Parameters**

•Service Year 2021 (PY2022) EDPS diagnosis codes

•Community and Institutional member cohort

•Total distinct member and HCC combinations

Conditions not adjusted for hierarchy
These conditions represent ~35% of the total confirmed conditions



RISE

## Intervention Program Impact of moving from V24 to V28

#### **Analysis Parameters**

- •2021 DOS (PY2022)
- HCCs not found in claims that were found in IHAs or MRRs
- •Hierarchy not applied

#### **Analysis Results**

- •Net New HCCs generated from IHAs could decrease >45%
- •Net New HCCs generated from MRRs could decrease >25%
- These 9 HCCs reflect ~35% of intervention HCCs



#### Veradigm Benchmark data

### **Early Returns of Model Blending**

Veradigm provides outputs from both models to fully assess disease burden and risk scores at the member and plan level

- For 2023 DOS (PY2024), nearly 70% of the disease portion of the risk score is coming from V24
- We are projecting that approximately 64% of the demographic RAF will come from V24 in PY2024
- The volume of V28 HCCs is about 75% of the volume of V24 HCCs



### **Poll Question 1:**

Are you adjusting your member engagement strategy in light of the v28 changes?

- Yes
- No



### **Poll Question 2:**

Please select the top 2 actions you/your plan is taking related to your Member Engagement strategy.

Multiple choice:

- Stop IHA
- Reduce IHA volume / Modify member criteria for IHAs
- Promote wellness visits (AWV)
- Promote other Provider encounters



### **Redefining Member Engagement for Risk Adjustment**



**Kwame Appiah-Yeboah** Director, Risk Adjustment and Revenue Management Sentara Health Plans

#### **Responsibilities: ACA, Medicare & Medicaid**

Risk Adjustment Operations, Encounter Data Integrity, Member Engagement, Provider Engagement, Prospective and Retrospective Reviews, Coding and Compliance



#### Sentara Health Plan (SHP)

Membership: ~1.2 million ACA, Medicare, Medicaid members in Virginia and Florida

**Sentara Health System** with 12 hospitals, rehab and therapy centers, urgent care, home health, and hospice facilities serving patients in Virginia and North Carolina

#### **Sentara Medical Groups**

More than 1,300 quality physicians and advanced practice clinicians



### Redefining Member Engagement for Risk Adjustment

- CMS Intent and Impact of V28
- MA Risk Adjustments overpayments ~ \$20 Billion. MEDPAC – 11%, Health Affairs – 14.4%
- OIG reports have been critical of IHA role in RA
- V28 has 2,000 fewer ICD-10 codes that map to an HCC compared to V24.
- Internal SHP estimates of ~15 25% negative financial impact on IHA and MRR. National estimates of 25-45% impact.

SHP Top 10 Impacted HCCs

MRR	ІНА
Diabetes	Interaction
Limbs	Diabetes
Vascular	Vascular
Obesity	Malnutrituion
Chronic Ulcer	Embolism
Embolism	Psychiatric
Immune	Obesity
Renal	Limbs
Amputation	Hematology
Openings	Ulcer

### **SHP Response – Focus on the Member**

- Encourage/incentivize members to complete AWV
- Structure incentives for early completion. January June vs July – December
- Facilitate encounter with PCP through
  - Outreach
  - Appointment help & reminders
  - Transportation
  - Imbedded support staff



### **SHP Response – Focus on Provider**

- VBC contracts that recognize Risk and Quality gaps closure
- VBC contracts including EMR access
- Bi-directional data exchange with providers
  - Sending Risk and Quality gaps to providers
  - Point-of-Care (POC) Gap closure with provider action feedback
  - POC gap closure with Prospective chart review and provider action response
  - POC gap closure with Concurrent chart review and provider action response



### **Point-of-Care Integration**

Provider



Reviews and Addresses Risk & Quality Gaps During Encounter

Gaps are in EMR workflow Document in EMR Submit Claims Share EMR Data EMR Provider/Third Party Solution



Facilitate Data Exchange Between Provider and Payer

100's of EMRs to Connect Pull Gaps from Payer Push to Provider on Demand Pull EMR Data from Provider Push EMR Data to Payers

#### Sentara Health Plan



Identify Risk & Quality Gaps Receive EMR Data

Analytics Predictive Modeling Machine Learning Persistent Gaps Prospective Reviews

RISE

### **Poll Question 3:**

What % reflects your access to your provider network's EHR data?

Multiple choice:

- Less than 10%
- Between 10-25%
- Between 25-50%
- Greater than 50%



### **Poll Question 4:**

Can you push data (e.g., open gaps) to your providers' EHRs today?

- Yes
- No



### **Point of Care Gap Closure**



**Megan Zakrewsky** Vice President, Solutions Management Veradigm

#### **Responsibilities:**

Set Strategy for portfolio of solutions facilitating data exchange between providers and payers, primarily supporting payer risk adjustment and quality initiatives.



Veradigm is a healthcare technology and analytics company spanning across the three pillars of healthcare

YER PROVIDER

BIOPHAR

RISE

### **Expanding on Point of Care Integration**

- Provider engagement strategies are evolving
- Incorporation of tech-backed approaches
- EHRs are creating native pathways











### **Native EHR Integration**

- Reduces multiple workflows
- Organic, seamless integration
- Engages admin and clinical staff
- For example, Veradigm Payer Insights (TouchWorks, Veradigm EHR, Practice Fusion)





# THANK YOU

