## The Summer of Risk Adjustment Love

**Presented By:** 

**Jay Baker** AVP, Product Management – Edifecs **Dr. Scott Howell** AIDS Healthcare Foundation







We are a network of health care professionals addressing the challenges posed by the emerging landscape of value-based care and government health care reform.

### **OUR MISSION**

Our mission is to provide a community for like-minded professionals to come together for networking, education, and industry collaboration to stay ahead and advance their careers.

## ONE ASSOCIATION THREE COMMUNITIES



### LEARN MORE AT THE RISEHEALTH.ORG/MEMBERSHIP

## Agenda

### 1

### Where Are We & Where Are We Going?

- Current state of risk adjustment analytics and associated problems
- Next-generation risk adjustment analytics barriers
- Your old partner is your new partner

### **Overcoming Barriers,** Use Cases & Best Practices

2

- Health plan example
- Risk-bearing organization example
- Provider engagement best practices

### Value and the Path Forward

3

- Operationalizing next-gen risk adjustment
- Value and next steps

## **4**

• Let the experts answer your questions!





# Where are we & Where are we going?





### Analytics In Risk Adjustment Business Norms



### **Risk Analytics Opportunities**

Suspecting	Submissions
Identify missing and mis-coded diagnosis codes	Link chart reviews to existing medical claims
Targeting	Interventions
Identify the best intervention or medical record to close documentation / care gaps	Identify the best intervention or medical record to close documentation / care gaps
Interventions	
Identify diagnostic conditions documented in progress	•

notes

edifecs



## **Analytics In Risk Adjustment Suspecting Evolution**

Chronic Condition Recapture					
Identify chronic	Expert Knowledge Rules				
conditions to be treated and documented annually	Ruild export Machine Learning		g / Al		
	Build expert knowledge clinical rule set to identify diagnostic conditions based on secondary data like lab results & drug therapy	ML and AI to identify diagnostic	EK and ML Integration		
			Integrating the strengths of both approaches to achieve a traceable and comprehensive recommendation	SDoH and Non- clinical Factors	
				Include SDoH and other socio- economic factors that help identify at risk patients that may benefit from additional services	





## **Innovative Market Trends**

information

Cloud Computing	AI and	Robotic Process	Interoperability
(SaaS)	Machine Learning	Automation (RPA)	(FHIR)
<ul> <li>85% of enterprise organizations will be using cloud services for business- critical functions – Gartner</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ML mimics the brain's ability to review information and make recommendations</li> <li>40% of firms expect to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Automates manual operational processes that are repetitive and prone to error</li> <li>69% of data processing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Loosely couple payers, providers and systems using a standard semantic data interface</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Driving the shift from analog</li></ul>	<ul> <li>increase investment in AI/ML</li> <li>in 2021</li> <li>NLP unlocking 80% of clinical</li> </ul>	and 64% of data collection	<ul> <li>Government mandated to</li></ul>
and physical assets to digital		operations can potentially be	implementmarket
assets		automated	requirement



## **Barriers to Next-Gen RA Analytics**

Interoperability and Quality of Data	<ul> <li>FHIR and other government mandated interfaces are unlocking data that is traditionally stored in proprietary formats. Does not adjust for data bias.</li> </ul>
Technical Debt and Legacy Systems	<ul> <li>Sunk capital costs in equipment, systems and propitiatory applications</li> <li>Innovation requires new skills and a change in culture</li> </ul>
Innovating an entire ecosystem (one bite at a time)	<ul> <li>Moving from proprietary to standard data formats</li> <li>Change management – people</li> </ul>
Culture of Innovation	<ul> <li>Innovation requires new skills, change in culture and the way problems are solved</li> </ul>



## Your Old Partner is Your New Partner



- Payers contract with networks to deliver care to patients
- Staff models and Integrated Delivery Systems blur care models
- Payers deliver care though retail and mobile clinics



Aligning Incentives between Payers and Providers

- Value-based care aligns the goals of the payer with the actions of the provider
- Transparency to payment models and metrics build trust



 Telemedicine, in-home and retail and mobile clinics shift primary care to new models

 AI and drone delivery innovations will drive just-in time medicine



#### Healthcare Benefits Redesigned

- SDoH are shown to drive health outcomes
- Plans are expanding health benefits to include housing, food, internet access, etc.



## How It Works – Transactional Risk Analytics







## **Overcoming Barriers, Use Cases & Best Practices**





## **Next-Gen Analytics Impact and Outcomes**







Under the new contract your practice will receive a bonus if you recapture 80% of the chronic conditions by Sept

How will I know who has chronic conditions and how close I am to the recapture goal?

How can I audit the reports and verify results?

We will provide a monthly report from our systems

Plans are entering into value-based contracts with providers, but the details for evaluation/verification are still a work in progress





Hi Mrs. Smith – Tell me about your visit to the cardiologist.

What medication did they prescribe to you?

They did some tests and said I needed a new medication.

They asked me to take Warfarin daily.

Today, many doctors rely on patient memory and feedback for some of the follow up feedback. Additionally, doctors often don't have a complete history of chronic historical and suspected conditions when evaluating patients – leading to incomplete evaluations and treatment plans.





I need the dx and treatment history from all of my patients' visits including other Drs and a view of all the historical and suspected conditions when I am evaluating a patient. I really need an EMR that can be updated from other Dr visits and analytics that are updated on history and suspected conditions when I am evaluating a patient.

> If I could add a service to my current EMR that would identify historical and suspected conditions, then I would have the information I need when I need it.

I know analytics has a cost, but the loss in revenue is too expensive.

Doctors are challenged because their EMR system or paper-based chart does not present up-to-date or complete analytics for Dx history or suspected conditions





conditions and how close I am to my contracted recapture goal?

How will I know who has chronic

Hi Mrs. Jones – I can see you went to see Dr. Smith and she ran some tests on your heart and prescribed Warfarin. How are you feeling since this change?

> Great – I see some other potential conditions. I want to evaluate your circulation and rule out any vascular issues. Let's do a Quantaflow test.

Because the doctor has access to current and complete information and analytics, they will be able to improve the care delivered, improve the patient experience and reduce the cost of care by avoiding duplication of services





I feel great and really appreciated Dr. Smith

#### MANAGERIAL

#### Value-Based Contracting Innovated Medicare Advantage Healthcare Delivery and Improved Survival

Aloke K. Mandal, MD, PhD; Gene K. Tagomori, BSc; Randell V. Felix, BSc; and Scott C. Howell, DO, MPH&TM

n caring for traditional Medicare beneficiaries, primary care physicians will need to transform their clinical practice and assume more fiduciary risk. CMS recently published "new policies to address and incentivare participation in alternative payment models" (APMs). An APM broadly can be defined as any reinbursement model other than strict foe-for-service (PFS). Carten'sy, 30% of traditional FFS Medicare is neinbursed through APMs, with the gual of 50% inselvement by 2018. <sup>11</sup> Clinicians who want to before qualifying APM periodynamic can expect to "bear more than a hominal amount of fisk for molestary lower.<sup>11</sup> Through APMs and intertaining risk assumption, these new policies aspire to promulgate high-value healthcare, as defined by "better tifs" of waiture in favor of APMs and increasing capitation can generate cost efficiencies and also improve clinical optiones remains debatable.<sup>11</sup>

Medicare Advantage (MA) provides an alternative to traditional FFS Medicare. It has been a commercial success, accounting for 17.5 million (90.6%) of all Medicare enrollees and \$304.7 billion (28.9%) of Medicare's 2017 gross spending budget.11 Secause it is regulated by its own federal statutes," MA is classified as an "Other Payer APM" and excluded from CMS' Proposed Rule for Medicare FFS.'For over a decade, MA has used the CMS Hierarchical Condition Categories (CMS-HCC) payment model to teimburse private plans (Medicare Advantage Organizations (MAOsI) with prospective, monthly, risk-adjusted or health-based capitated payments for the care of MA enrollees. The value of subsidizing MA often has been challenged."" Consequently, on October 3, 2016, CMS' Innovation Center (CMMI) announced its Medicare Advantage Value-Based insutance Design (VBD) model to test whether new initiatives can "improve health outcomes and lower expenditutes for Medicare Advantage enrollees.""

CMS adopted the CMS-HOC payment model with the concept that MAO recompense should reflect the disease and related cost burdens of the pertinent population and, thus, fundamentally changed how NAOs are relimbuted.<sup>11</sup> in setuen for providing healthcare benefits to MA enrollees during the calendar year SCN.

#### ABSTRACT

DBJECTYVES: In Medicare Advantage (MA) with Its CMS Historichical Condition Categories (CMS-MCC) payment model, CMS-Inthichares privale plans (Medicare Advantage Organizations (MADAI) with prospectiae, monthly, health based or risk-adjusted; capitated payments. The effect of this payment methodology of healthcare delivery remains debtable. How who -based controlling generates coll efficiencies and improves (Chical outcomes in MA is studied.

ETUDY DESIDE A difference in contracting arrangements between an MAD and 2 provider groups facilitated an intervention control, provinerweition, pationarrevention, difference in differences apprach among statistically similar, siderig, community-desiling MA enrolises within one metropolian statistical area.

HETRADDI: Starting in 2009, the intervention-group HA exemities, the HAD and a provider group agreed to full-risk capitation combined with a revenue gainshare. The gainshare was based on increase in the Risk Adjustment Factor (RAF), which modified the CMS-POC payments for the centre group, the MAD conditioned to coinclusion another provider group through the for-service. RAF, utilization, and survival were followed and December 31, 2012.

**BESIGNER** The Intervention principle mean RAF increased significantly (P = DDT), activities [52:215:456 pc = 1000 members of additional investors. The intervention increased office based white (P = 2011; Emergency department visits (P = 2011) and inpatient bacyload advisationals (P = 2011) decreased. This change is utilization same S2,377,272 par 3000 anvulates, Bij intervehigt office based fam for these MA modules with multiple comprisions, a VK survival based with a 32.876 invert based of death (P = 2001) was achieved.

CONCLUSIONS: Value-based contracting can drive utilization patterns and improve clinical outcomes among chronically EL, elderly MA members.

THE AMERICAN JOURNAL OF MANAGED CARE\* VOL. 23, NO. 2 041

Am J Manag Care. 2017;23(2):=61-669

Why Does Risk Adjustment Matter?

### How does contractual design affect care management outcomes?

- Two clinics 2–19 miles from each other
- Both accredited as Patient-Centered Primary Care

### Medical Group Structure: Capitated vs FFS Methodologies Employed:

- Propensity Score
- Nearest Neighbor Matching
- Randomization Inference (Permutation Testing)
- Pre-Intervention/Post-Intervention
- Difference-in-Differences Analysis

THE RISE ASSOCIATION

## Value and the Path Forward





## **Operationalizing Next-Gen Risk Adjustment Analytics – Key Issues**

**Data Integration HIPAA and Patient Privacy Emerging skills** and Access to Information and capabilities and Quality **Ensuring HIPAA** ML/AI development privacy and security and deployment Investing in are enforced industry-adopted interoperability initiatives like FHIR – active participant in Develop and adopt SaaS development innovations patient consent and integration workflows and (CI/CD)

Unintentional Bias in AI/ML Models

Prevent known bias where possible

Mitigate bias risk by combining with expert knowledge

Disclose known bias in model development and training



## **Continuous Improvement – Next-Generation Analytics**







### How to Innovate Next-Gen Analytics







### PEOPLE

- Invest in emerging skills (AI/ML, SaaS, CI/CD)
- Create a culture of INNOVATION (fail fast / fix fast)

### **PROCESS**

- Develop a process for continuous improvement in risk and quality analytics
- Moving from risk and quality projects to ongoing processes

### **TECHNOLOGY**

- Commit to leading-edge technology and standards backed by industry
- Health IT is moving to a SaaS ecosystem – understanding how your business thrives in a SaaS market



## **Innovators in Encounter Processing**

#### **Substantial Submission Footprint** Scalability & Performance **Encounter Management** 1.4B encounter submissions annually across Supports clients as large as 7.2M members; Single **Solution Profile** instance supports over 24 LOBs; process up to 4M Medicare Advantage, Managed Medicaid and the Marketplace encounter submissions per week Serving more than 60 Million lives through our 43+ encounter customers **Submission Accuracy Market Leader** Overall, ~32% of encounters submitted to CMS Achieved very high submission compliance and protect revenue accuracy for our are generated by our system customers **Key Differentiators** 0= °=-CMS/ACA/State Impactful Prioritized Intuitive **OOTB** modular approach exception workflows operational dashboards data visibility











# THANK YOU



